Combining Hydrodynamics Modeling with Test Particle Tracking to Improve Flare Simulations

Henry (Trae) Winter, P.C.H. Martens, & Jenna Rettenmayer

winter@solar.physics.montana.edu martens@solar.physics.montana.edu, jretten@solar.physics.montana.edu

Montana State University, Physics Dept., MSU EPS 264, Bozeman, MT 59717-3840 United States

I. Abstract

We are combining thermal plasma and non-thermal particle numerical we are comparing therma plasma and non-internative plantice functions models in order to improve flare simulations. Non-thermal particle collision models provide heating and momentum deposition for the thermal plasma. The thermal plasma models in turn provide an evolving temperature and density structure for the non-thermal particles' target plasma. This allows us to simulate thermal and non-thermal flare, empirate under a wright of increasingly regulate thermal flare emission under a variety of increasingly realistic solar conditions. The model flare emission is then folded through the response functions of solar observatories in order to provide simulated data that can be compared to observational results. This provides a means to verify the predictions of multiple flare models with observed flare behavior.

This work is supported by NASA grant NAG5-12820

Initial Loop Properties				
Loop Length:	4.4 x 10 ⁹ cm	Temp.loop top :	1.4 x 10 ⁶ K	
B _{foot point} :	100 G	Bloop top:	37 G	
Density foot point :	2 x 10 ¹³ cm ⁻³	Density _{loop top} :	1 x 109 cm-3	
Exponentially de	creasing magnetic fiel	d		
Total Simulation	time is 30 seconds			

II. Method

Beams of non-thermal particles, with an anisotropic pitch Profile, are injected into the loop apex. The Particle Transport Code (PaTC) tracks non-thermal test particles as they propagate through the model plasma. Energy and pitch angle cosine (θ =cos⁻¹[V_{parallel}/V_{total}]) changes due to collisional interactions with protons and electrons and magnetic effects are modeled for each test protois and electronis and magnetic electrs are induced of each resp particle. The change in energy and momentum of each rest particle is multiplied by a scaling factor and used as an input to the hydrodynamic equation solver, MSULoop. MSULoop then calculates the plasma's response to the input of energy and momentum and provides the temperature and density structure of the plasma, which is under do terrest newth to the cert input for the plasma, which is used as target inputs to the next iteration of PaTC.

Non-Thermal	Particle Pro	perties
		0 - C - C - C - C - C - C - C - C - C -

Total Energy Deposited into Loop: 1028 ergs (Small Flare) Non-Thernal Power Spectrum: Non-Thernal Particle Energy Range: 25-50 keV Beam Duration:

 $F(E)=F_0E^{-3}$, where F_0 is a constant

2 seconds 6.68



III. Conclusions

There is a strong initial deposition of energy at the foot points followed by a long period of energy deposition at the loop top. This is a result of non-thermal electrons in the loss cone precipitating quickly to the denser chromosphere where they are immediately thermalized and give all of their energy to the plasma in the loop.

The longer period of energy deposition at the loop top at first seems counter-intuitive since the plasma density is much lower. However, non-thermal particles can deposit a significant amount of energy at the top of converging magnetic loops. The effect of magnetic mirroring causes the non-thermal particles to spend a significant fraction of their time at the loop top causing more collisions at this point than would be expected in the non-mirroring case. This result helps to provide an explanation for loop top hard X-ray sources observed in some flares.



In future, the density and temperature profiles will be used to generate spectra that will be folded through various instrument response functions, such as XRT on Solar-B, in order to directly compare theoretical models and observation. We will also calculate hard X-ray emission in order to compare with instruments such as HXT and RHESSI.