Magnetic reconnection: what are the problems and how we see it in the sun

Hiroaki Isobe (Kyoto University)

Magnetic activity in the Sun



Soft X-ray, Yohkoh





Why we need to consider reconnection

- Magnetic energy converted to thermal and kinetic energies of plasma
- Classical resistivity in space and astrophysics is tiny: resistive time in solar corona $\tau \sim 4\pi L^2/c^2 \eta \sim 1,000,000$ year!
- Time scale of solar flares ~ 100 s.
- We need a mechanism to accelerate the dissipation many orders of magnitudes => magnetic reconnection

Magnetic reconnection



- In the presence of finite resistivity, anti-parallel field lines separated by a current sheet are cut and glued so that the connectivity of field lines changes.
- The reconnected field lines accelerate plasma by the tension force like a catapult.

Magnetic reconnection in astrophysics

Uzdensky (2006, astro-ph/0607656)

... the most important reconnection mechanism in Astrophysics invokes waves, a certain type of waves, in fact. Called *handwaves* (See Fig 1).



Fig. 1.— Main Reconnection Mechanism in Astrophysics.

The mechanism works like this: Well, we know that fast reconnection happens in the Solar corona, and in the Earth magnetosphere. So it should also happen in OUR astrophysical system.

Classical theories 1. Sweet-Parker reconnection (Parker 1957, Sweet 1958)

- Assumption: stead state, incompressible.
- Outflow velocity $Vout = VA = B/(4\pi \varrho)^{1/2}$ (Alfven velocity)
- Consider mass conservation: $VinL = V_A l$
- From induction equation: $BVin = \eta J \sim \eta B/l$
- Then we obtain Vin/VA = $(LV_A/\eta)^{0.5} \sim 1$ year.



Problem: Still too slow!

Classical theories 1. Petschek reconnection (Petschek 1964)

- Sweet-Parker reconnection is slow because the outflow width is narrow and hence plasma expelling is ineffective.
- If resistivity is (somehow) localized, standing slow shocks is formed and the magnetic energy is converted via slow shocks.
- The outflow width can become larger and reconnection can be fast $V_{in} \simeq 0.1 V_{\rm A}$



Problem: how to localize resistivity?

Hall effect $\frac{\partial B}{\partial t} = \nabla \times [V_n \times B - \frac{J \times B}{en_e} - \eta J]$

- Hall-effect (or other kinetic instabilities) becomes important when current sheet width is smaller than ion inertia length c/ω_{Pi}
- Hall reconnection produce Petschek-like configuration and fast reconnection



"Scale-gap" problem



- c/w_{pi} in corona ~ 10^2 cm
- Spatial size of flare ~ 10⁹ cm

How can we fill the 7-orders gap?

Yokoyama & Shibata 1998

Observational evidence for magnetic reconnection in the corona (before Hinode/SDO)



Cusp-shaped loop (Tsuneta+92)



Loop-top Hard X-Ray source (Masuda+94)



Supra-arcade downflow (McKenzie Hudson99, Innes+03, Asai+04, Savage+12)

Reconnection inflow (Yokoyama+01; Narukage & Shibata06 Lin+05, Hara+06) V_{inflow}~0.01V_A





Measurement of reconnection rate

Isobe+02, 05 Qiu+02, 04 Jing+05 Asai+02,04



- V_{inflow} : 1 100 km/s ~ 0.001-0.1 V_A * spatial/temporal average
- $E = V_{inflow} \times B \simeq 10 1000 \text{ V/m}$
- eEL ~ 1—100 GeV ... comparable to highest energy ions

Spectroscopic diagnostics by Hinode/EIS



"Standard model" confirmed qualitatively. More examples desired to examine the role of shocks.

Hara et al. 2011

- "Lightening"-like reconnection event observed by SDO/AIA
- Formation, coalescence and ejection of multiple plasma blobs



X-ray jet



2006/11/23 00:47:25 XRT Al_poly filter exp. 16385msec



Magnetic reconnection between buoyantly rising loop and open field (Yokoyama & Shibata 1995)

Magnetic reconnection in the chromosphere



Reconnection + plasma jets at various heights

X-ray jet ~100,000km (corona)



EUV jet ~ 10,000 km (upper chromo ~ transtion region)

Nishizuka+07

Chromospheric jet ~1000km





Shibata+07

Chromosphere is collisional and partially ionized



- Plasma parameter very different from (almost) collisionless and fully ionized corona
- Reconnection is chromosphere poorly studied (some pioneering works by Chae, Litvinenko, Sakai, Krishan et al)
- Also important in molecular clouds and protoplanetary disks (Zweibel, Lazarian, Sano et al.)

Similar astrophysical plasmas: molecular clouds and protoplanetary disk



•Hall dominates in inner disk ... photosphere - like

•Ambipolar dominates in outer disk and molecular clouds ... chromosphere-like

Chromospheric reconnection intermittent and bursty





K.A.P. Singh et al. to be submitted soon.

Current sheet thinning by ambipolar diffusion (Brandenburg & Zweibel 1994)

Induction eq. for partially ionized plasma



Effect of non-uniform ambipolar diffusion





Ambipolar diffusion $\neq 0$

Ambipolar diffusion localized in $x < \pm 20$ Ohmic resistivity is uniform





In Sweet-Paker-like stage, the reconnection region consists of 3 layers:

- resistive-dominant inner current sheet
- ambipolar-dominant outer current sheet
- advection-dominant inflow region

Ambipolar diffusion causes plasma heating ⇒outflow driven by gas-pressure gradient from the ambipolar layer

Note: two-fluid treatment is necessary to quantitatively address the (ion-dominant) outflow from resistive layer



Petschek-like regime

color: current density



Ambipolar diffusion uniform + enhanced in $x < \pm 2$ Uniform resistivity





Conclusions

- Magnetic reconnection is playing important role in physical process in various kinds of space and astrophysical plasmas.
- It still have fundamental unresolved issues:
 - scale coupling
 - multi-fluid effects
 - particle acceleration
- Solar atmosphere is an unique lab to study it, and collaboration with other field is essential